

1. Attendees

Richard Baker
Val Jonas
Mark Swabey
John Ludlow
Andrew Oxland
Mark Turner
Roger Garrini
Susan Masters
Andrew Mills
Bill Aujla
Sanjay Gondalia
Adam Wright
Ian Churchill
James Cole
Ian Davitt
Jo Langley
Harri Spolander

2. Opening

Harri Spolander opened the meeting and wished everyone welcome 4 pm 21st September 2009

3. Presentation

Richard Baker from Cearus Consulting had a presentation with topic “Ensuring ERM adds value – lessons from the past for the future”. The presentation attached.

4. Change of Chairmanship

Harri Spolander talked briefly about the first year of the ERM SIG and thanked everyone contributing to the active participation to form a functioning forum to discuss and brainstorm ERM issues. Harri also expressed special thanks to Anthony Kingston and James Leow who have with their hard work enabled the meetings and all arrangements. The SIG is also very grateful to Alex Hindson who has contributed with his personal competences and as Director in IRM to get the activity started.

Harri handed over the chairmanship to Bill Aujla from BT and wished all the best for the new committee which in addition Bill consist of Mike Rodway as co-chairman and James Leow as secretary.

4. Next steps discussion

Bill Aujla said that his plan is to have 4 face-to-face meetings per year.

It was recognized that there is no fundamental need to revise ToR. Susan Masters asked what the objective of the SIG is. If required, Harri Spolander said that through documented minutes we have collected material which can be used in preparing a white paper on ERM.

Jo Langley took up also what we could do and Bill Aujla said that IRM is an educational institute and that is the aspiration of IRM. Bill Aujla said that Steve Fowler could be invited to the November meeting to discuss what the development possibilities are.

Richard Baker said that he was not aware of so clear educational profile of IRM. Richard saw a lot value in the discussions and break-up teams to collect information eventhough we would not publish any whitepaper which would require a lot resources.

Bill promised to challenge IRM what the purpose and plan for the SIG can be. He also promised to put the meeting plan in place for the entire year.

Harri took up travel restrictions and the need to have webinars. The previous two hour face to face meetings were considered too short to allow meaningful break-out group discussions and feedback. Two hours was regarded enough for webinars and to involve larger group. Bill proposed to do a survey why no more people are attending even though they are on the list.

5. Closing of the meeting

Bill Aujla closed the meeting 5.50 pm.